UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/711,088 | 08/20/2004 | Mats Sabelstrom | 7589.187.PCUS00 | 9461 |
| | 7590 01/14/200 CE AND QUIGG LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 1000 LOUISIA | NA STREET | SY, MARIANO ONG | | |
| FIFTY-THIRD HOUSTON, TX | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3657 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/14/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Арр | lication No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | 711,088 | SABELSTROM E | SABELSTROM ET AL. | | | |
| | | | miner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | MAF | RIANO SY | 3657 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply | ication appears | on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence a | ddress | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum st et or reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | AALING DATE (s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I nunication. atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause | OF THIS COMMUN n no event, however, may a y and will expire SIX (6) MC the application to become A | ICATION. It reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on <i>01 Decem</i> | her 2008 | | | | | |
| ′= | | 2b)⊠ This actio | | | | | | |
| ′= | | <i>′</i> — | | tters prosecution as to th | e merits is | | | |
| ٥/ك | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | • | • | , | | | | |
| · | | na in the annlica | ition | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 10-27</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ire withdrawn ne | in consideration. | | | | | |
| · | 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 22</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,10,12-21 and 23-27</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | - | 4: | | | | | |
| 8)[_] | Claim(s) are subject to restrict | ction and/or elec | tion requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🔲 . | The specification is objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) 🔲 | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | : a) <mark></mark> accepted | or b) objected to | by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | the correction is | required if the drawin | g(s) is objected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inforr | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Too(s)/Mail Date | PTO-948) | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/711,088 Page 2

Art Unit: 3657

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 1, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/711,088 Page 3

Art Unit: 3657

4. Claims 1-6, 10, 12-21, and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barger (US 6,155,650) in view of Yanagi (JP 59-47531A).

Barger disclosed, as shown in fig. 1-4, a protection device 10 for protecting a brake disk 24 in a disk brake from dirt particles, the brake disk having a pair of side surfaces and a radially outwardly facing edge surface disposed between the side surfaces, said protection device comprising: at least one protection means 56 configured to cover at least partly the edge surface of the brake disk for effectively preventing dirt particles and on-coming, travel generated wind from directly striking the brake disk.

However Barger failed to disclose wherein the at least one protection means is constructed from material that is shape-influenced by heat.

Yanagi teaches, as shown in fig. 7, the use of protection means 11 that is L-shaped and is influenced by heat.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to merely use the known L-shaped protections means that is influenced by heat into the device of Barger, as taught by Yanagi, in order to restrict the opening of the protection means and only open when the temperature is high and needed for cooling so as to minimize dirt particles from brake disk.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 11 and 22 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,088 Page 4

Art Unit: 3657

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 15, and 25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Mariano Sy whose telephone number is 571-272-

7126. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:30 A.M. to 2:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Siconolfi, can be reached on 571-272-7124. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/MS/

December 24, 2008

/Robert A. Siconolfi/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3657